



The Grace Proclamator and Promulgator

"To testify the gospel of the grace of God." Acts 20:24

****PUBLISHED AS A MISSION PROJECT OF PILGRIMS HOPE BAPTIST CHURCH****

Vol. XIV, No. 12

December 1, 1998

Page

IN WHAT SENSE ARE WE BAPTIZED INTO THE CHURCH?

PART I

By Wayne Camp

1 Corinthians 12:13 For by one Spirit are we all baptized into (EIS) one body, whether we be Jews or Gentiles, whether we be bond or free; and have been all made to drink into one Spirit.

Are we baptized "into" the church? Is baptism the door to the church? In what sense are we baptized into the church if we are?

I want to make it clear at the very beginning that this is not a matter that, to my knowledge, has ever been made a test of fellowship, and I certainly would not do so. At times the matter has been hotly contested by some on either side of the issue, but by some it was not a cause of controversy. In my research on the matter I was amazed that the three leaders and co-workers in the Old Landmark movement did not agree on this though they worked very closely together.

J. R. Graves held that baptism is the door to the church while J. M. Pendleton and A. C. Dayton held otherwise. Yet, these three men, along with another part of the time, co-edited the Southern Baptist Review. Though they differed, neither of their positions was something they made a standard of orthodoxy that all who were true Baptists must hold.

In this message I wish to show the diverse views which true Baptists have held on this matter.

MY PERSONAL VIEW

Above, I asked some questions. I will show how different brethren have answered the first in the past. But, first, let me give my own personal view. My answer to the first question would be a firm "Yes!" I believe that one is baptized into the church. And, I believe that baptism into the body is in the same sense that we are baptized into Christ, into his name, into his death, etc.

THE BIBLE IS STILL THE BEST COMMENTARY ON THE BIBLE

Comparing Scripture with Scripture is one of the best ways there is to discern the sense of a statement found in God's word. The following story is told about the commentator, Matthew Henry. "Matthew Henry, the great commentator, loaned one of his works to Mose, a colored help. Several days later he came to return the book; he laid it upon Dr. Henry's desk and started backing out of the room. 'Well, Mose, how did you like my commentary?' asked Dr. Henry. 'Strange, Massa, how much light the Bible do throw on your commentary,' replied Mose. The Bible is indeed the best Commentary on the Bible."¹ Therefore, let us compare the following verses.

I said that one is baptized into the body in the same sense that he is baptized into Christ, into Moses, into the death of Christ, etc. A comparison of the following Scriptures makes

THE GRACE PROCLAMATOR AND PROMULGATOR (USPS #000476) is published monthly (subscription free) by the authority of Pilgrims Hope Baptist Church, 3084 Woodrow, Memphis, TN 38127. Periodical postage paid at Memphis, TN 38101.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE GRACE PROCLAMATOR AND PROMULGATOR, 3084 Woodrow, Memphis, TN 38127

COPYING PRIVILEGES

Any articles or messages in this paper may be copied and used as the reader sees fit unless otherwise specified before or after the article or message. Our desire is to disseminate the gospel of grace as widely as possible.

EDITOR'S ADDRESS, PHONE NUMBERS AND E-MAIL ADDRESSES

The editor, Eld. Wayne Camp, may be reached at the address given above, or at his home address. His home address is: 2065 Tompkins Lane, Millington, TN 38053-5107.

Church Phone at Home: (901) 876-5015

Church Phone: (901) 357-0215.

E-mail address: RWcamp@cris.com

Visit our Home Page on the Internet

<http://www.concentric.net/~Rwcamp/>

Note: An answering machine is on both numbers. They will answer on the fourth ring. We do not monitor our calls before answering.

PLANNING TO MOVE? If at all possible, please notify us three weeks in advance of your change of address so that we may keep your paper coming. It costs us 50 cents to get your new address from the Postal Service and that may take long enough that two papers are returned at a cost of \$1.00 before we get the correction. This will mean you miss one or two papers. Your help in saving us this expense will be appreciated.

IF YOU ARE IN MEMPHIS we invite you to attend our services:

Bible Study 10:00 A. M. Sunday
Worship Service 11:00 A. M. Sunday
Evening Service 5:00 P. M. Sunday
Mid-Week Service 7:00 P. M. Wednesday

You Are Welcome!

that very clear.

1. **1 Corinthians 12:13** For by one Spirit are we all baptized into (ELS) one body, whether we be Jews or Gentiles, whether we be bond or free; and have been all made to drink into one Spirit.
2. **Galatians 3:27** For as many of you as have been baptized into (ELS) Christ have put on Christ.
3. **Romans 6:3** Know ye not, that so many of

us as were baptized into (ELS) Jesus Christ were baptized into (ELS) his death?

4. **Romans 6:4** Therefore we are buried with him by baptism into (ELS) death: that like as Christ was raised up from the dead by the glory of the Father, even so we also should walk in newness of life.
5. **Acts 8:16** (For as yet he was fallen upon none of them: only they were baptized in (ELS) the name of the Lord Jesus.)
6. **Acts 10:48** And he commanded them to be baptized in (ELS) the name of the Lord. Then prayed they him to tarry certain days.
7. **Acts 19:5** When they heard this, they were baptized in (ELS) the name of the Lord Jesus.
8. **1 Corinthians 1:13** Is Christ divided? was Paul crucified for you? or were ye baptized in (ELS) the name of Paul?
9. **1 Corinthians 1:15** Lest any should say that I had baptized in (ELS) mine own name.
10. **Matthew 28:19** Go ye therefore, and teach all nations, baptizing them in (ELS) the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost.
11. **1 Corinthians 10:2** And were all baptized unto (ELS) Moses in the cloud and in the sea.

Note the parallel nature of these statements.

1. "baptized into (ELS) one body" (1 Cor. 12:13).
2. "baptized into (ELS) Christ" (Gal. 3:27).
3. "baptized into (ELS) Jesus Christ" (Rom. 6:3).
4. "baptized into (ELS) his death" (Rom. 6:3).
5. "baptism into (ELS) death" (Rom. 6:4).
6. "baptized in [into] (ELS) the name of the Lord." (Acts 8:16).
7. "baptized in [into] (ELS) the name of the Lord Jesus." (Acts 19:5).
8. "baptized in [into] (ELS) the name of Paul." (1 Cor. 1:13).
9. "baptized in [into] (ELS) mine own name." (1 Cor. 1:15).
10. "baptizing them in [into] (ELS) the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the

Holy Ghost." (Mat. 28:18-20).

11. **"baptized unto [into] (εις) Moses in the cloud and in the sea." (I Cor. 10:2).**

Now, consider the expression, **"baptized into"** in the Greek in each of the instances above.

1. *εβαπτισθημ εις (1 Cor. 12:13).*
2. *εβαπτισθητε εις (Gal. 3:27).*
3. *εβαπτισθημεν εις (Rom. 6:3).*
4. *εβαπτισθημεν εις (Rom. 6:3).*
5. *βαπτισματος εις (Rom. 6:4).*
6. *βεβαπτισμενοι εις (Acts 8:16).*
7. *εβαπτισθησαν εις (Acts 19:5).*
8. *εβαπτισθητε εις (I Cor. 1:13).*
9. *εβαπτισα εις (I Cor. 1:15).*
10. *βαπτιζοντες εις (Mat. 28:19).*
11. *εβαπτισαντο εις (I Cor. 10:2).*

Consider also the tense of baptize in the first four instances where it is a verb. In the fifth instance above it is a noun.

1. Baptized—First aorist passive indicative of **baptizo. (1 Cor. 12:13).**
2. Baptized—First aorist passive indicative of **baptizo. (Gal. 3:27).**
3. Baptized—First aorist passive indicative of **baptizo. (Rom. 6:3).**
4. Baptized—First aorist passive indicative of **baptizo. (Rom. 6:3).**

This shows that the expression **"baptized into"** is used in the same sense in each of these expressions. **"Baptized into one body"** in I Cor. 12:13 is to be understood in the same sense as **"baptized into Christ"** in Gal. 3:27. **"Baptized into one body"** in I Cor. 12:13 is to be understood in the same sense as **"baptized into Jesus Christ"** in Rom. 6:3. **"Baptized into one body"** in I Cor. 12:13 is to be understood in the same sense as **"baptized into his death"** in Rom. 6:3. In each case it refers to relationship, not location. If, based on I Cor. 12:13, we say that baptism is the **"DOOR"** into the church, we should also be willing to say that baptism is the **"DOOR"** into Christ, is the **"DOOR"** into his death, was the **"DOOR"** into Moses for Israel, and was the **"DOOR"** into the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit (Mat. 28:18-20).

Is there not enough parallelism here to

establish that fact that being baptized into the one body (1 Cor. 12:13) is in the same sense as being baptized into Christ (Gal. 3:27)? Is not the construction so nearly alike that being baptized into the one body (1 Cor. 12:13) is in the same sense as being baptized into Jesus Christ and in the same sense as being baptized into his death (Rom. 6:3)?

If language means anything, it is in the same sense that we are baptized into Christ that we are baptized into his body. In the same sense that we are baptized into his death we are baptized into his body. The verb **baptized** is the same each time except for slight ending changes and in exactly the same tense, and **εις** is exactly the same in each of the Scriptures. The word **εις (εις)**, as used in these verses signifies **relationship**. The Israelites were baptized into (**εις**) Moses in the cloud and in the sea. **1 Corinthians 10:2 And were all baptized unto (εβαπτισαντο εις) Moses in the cloud and in the sea.**

Concerning the word (**εις**), we must be very careful about hinging a doctrine on a preposition. I like what Bro. Rosco Brong said on this. He wrote,

Baptized 'Into' Moses

A preposition is a weak peg to hang a doctrine on, but the phrase "baptized into Jesus Christ" in the King James version of Rom. 6:3 has long been a favorite with baptismal regenerationists. They are conveniently or willfully ignorant of the fact that identical translation of the same Greek preposition **εις** in I Cor. 10:2 makes "our fathers . . . all baptized into Moses."

"Of course, **nobody is ever dipped into Christ, any more than anybody was ever dipped into Moses.** The Greek preposition in both these passages should be rendered "with reference to" or "because of," either of which translations will give good sense, while "into" gives nonsense.²

Bro. Brong enlarges on this danger of hanging a doctrine on a preposition that has several meanings. Let us simply recognize **that nouns and verbs, in the very nature of language, are more nearly dependable in mean-**

ing than are prepositions. Specifically, we MUST take the Greek preposition *eis* in different senses in different contexts; we NEED NOT take the noun or verb for baptism or baptize in any other than the literal or nearly literal sense of dip, plunge, immerse, submerge, or overwhelm—and ALWAYS in water unless the context DEMANDS otherwise. This assumption makes possible **harmonious interpretation of the scriptural doctrine of baptism without difficulty.**

"But if we insist on "into" as the unvarying English translation of *eis*, even though *Webster's Third New International Dictionary* gives 11 main definitions of "into," we shall have all sorts of trouble. Did the men of Nineveh repent "into" the preaching of Jonah? Did Jesus speak of giving someone a drink "into" the name of a disciple? (Matt. 12:41, 10:42.) Did Peter tell repenters at Pentecost to be baptized "into" remission of sins? (Acts 2:38.)

"This last reference involves the use of *eis* in connection with baptism certainly parallel with Matt. 28:19, Rom. 6:3, Gal. 3:27, etc. Even more pertinent is I Cor. 10:2, where we read that the Israelites were baptized *eis* Moses. The construction here is exactly parallel with baptism *eis* Christ and *eis* the name of the Lord Jesus. There is no more reason to imagine a "spiritual baptism" "into" Christ than a "spiritual baptism" "into" Moses.³

The main problem I see with calling baptism the door to the church is that it takes the metaphorical use of a building to represent the church and makes it literal, as a house with a door. In that sense, if one goes through the door of baptism into the church, it would seem he would have to exit the church in the same manner. That is, unless one enters by one door and leaves by another. But, no one after whom I have read ever indicated there are several doors to the church. But, as D. N. Jackson argues later, that would require unbaptizing him. Moreover, I know of no instance in which anything was referred to as the "**door**" of the church in Scripture. Does any reader know of any place in Scripture where any writer or

speaker called baptism the "**door**" of the church? Surely, if that doctrine were as important as some make it, there would be at least one instance where it is called the "**DOOR**" of the church! Of course, if those saying Baptism is the door to the church are using the word "door" metaphorically to speak of the relationship to the church, then I am in agreement with them. Christ did say to one church, "I have set before thee an open door," but that was used in a figurative sense also.

I emphatically believe that baptism is a church ordinance administered by an ordained elder on behalf of the church. I also would refuse to baptize a person who had no interest in being a church member. It is obvious from Scripture comparisons that we baptize into the church in the same sense we baptize into Christ and into his death. We baptize into the church in the same sense in which Israel was baptized into Moses. The expressions have to do with relationship, not a change of location. When *eis* is used of location it has the sense of "into". When it is used with reference to relationship, it has the idea of "in relation to", or "on account of", or "because of".

Baptism indicates a church relationship. Baptism is by the authority of a local church of the Lord Jesus Christ and is requisite to church membership. It declares one's relationship to Christ, to his death, and to his body. **1 Corinthians 12:12-13 For as the body is one, and hath many members, and all the members of that one body, being many, are one body: so also is Christ. 13 For by one Spirit are we all baptized into one body, whether we be Jews or Gentiles, whether we be bond or free; and have been all made to drink into one Spirit. Colossians 2:17 Which are a shadow of things to come; but the body is of Christ.**

But, it should be pointed out again that,
**BAPTISTS HAVE NEVER BEEN UNITED IN
 THEIR INTERPRETATION OF THIS MATTER
 BUT IT HAS NOT DIVIDED THEIR
 FELLOWSHIP**

It is evident that Baptists have never been able to come to one opinion on this matter of

baptism being the actual door of the church. And, as far as I have been able to tell, none of our forefathers made an issue of the matter. In fact, as will be shown later, J. R. Graves held that baptism was the door to the church. J. M. Pendleton, as far as I have been able to ascertain, held that it was a **prerequisite** to church membership. A. C. Dayton, did not believe it was the door to the church.

I will begin now to give some quotes from others with comments now and then that show that all do not agree. But, it should be understood that this matter has never, in the past, been made a test of fellowship nor a standard of one's orthodoxy.

J. R. GRAVES

Graves wrote, "The Lord added to the Church daily those who were saved (Am. Ver). Baptism is the adding act."⁴

"The Holy Spirit testifies, in the same connection, that these were saved before they were baptized. 'And the Lord added to the Church daily those who were saved 'or' the saved.' Baptism is the only Lord's-appointed way of adding to His Church; for says Paul: 'In one spirit (i. e., of joyful obedience and submissive faith) we're we (the apostles and all whom he addressed, as well as every Christian that should in after time read the epistle) all baptized into one body (a local church), and were all made to drink of one spirit.'"⁵

Again Graves said, "Baptism, by initiating us into a local church of Christ, entitles us to all the privileges and rights of the church, not the least among those is the Lord's Supper."⁶

J. M. PENDLETON

There can be no doubt that J. M. Pendleton was an old Landmark Baptist. He was the author of the well-known work called **An Old Landmark Reset**. It was from this work and **Old Landmarkism: What Is It?** by J. R. Graves that the name Landmark was adopted by many Baptist churches. Of the place of baptism in relation to the church, Pendleton wrote, "Baptism is the ceremonial qualification for church membership. There can, according to the Scriptures, be no visible church without baptism. An observance of this ordinance is the believer's

first public act of obedience to Christ. Regeneration, repentance, and faith are private matters between God and the soul. They involve internal piety, but of this piety there must be an external manifestation. This manifestation is made in baptism. The penitent, regenerate believer is baptized into the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit. There is a visible, symbolic expression of a new relationship to the three persons of the Godhead—a relationship entered into in repentance, faith, and regeneration. As Baptism will be the topic of a distinct chapter, it is briefly referred to here."⁷

Pendleton does not say that baptism puts one into the church or not. He simply says that it is a **ceremonial** qualification for membership. In his **Baptist Church Manual** Pendleton includes the **New Hampshire Confession of Faith**, a confession that is found in a number of Baptist Church Manuals. This confession, in **Article IV**, declares that immersion in water is ". . . a prerequisite to the privileges of a church relation."⁸

A **prerequisite** is something that goes before. It is a **precondition**. It appears that Pendleton felt that baptism was a precondition of church membership, but not, necessarily the literal door into the church. Perhaps this is why most churches have a member make the following motion when someone comes to present themselves for baptism and church membership. "I move that we receive this person for baptism, and after baptism, into the full fellowship of the church." If baptism is literally the **door** into the body, perhaps the motion should be, "I move that we receive this person for baptism, and in baptism into the full fellowship of the church."

Pendleton emphasized the importance of baptism with one's confession of faith in Christ because of the symbolic representation in baptism of the death, burial and resurrection of Christ. He wrote, "Baptism is the first thing after a person is disciplined to Christ. It is the believer's first public duty. It is the first external manifestation of his internal piety. It is an open avowal of allegiance to Christ."⁹

A. C. DAYTON

Eld A. C. Dayton is listed with those pillars of orthodoxy among Baptists in the past. He was a friend of J. R. Graves. In fact, Graves wrote the introduction to the book, *Alien Immersion*, from which I am about to quote. Graves, who held that baptism is the door to the church, heartily commended and recommended this book in his introduction. But, Dayton did not hold that baptism is the door to the church. Rather, he held that baptism placed one in the visible kingdom of Christ of which the local church is the executive body. After baptism into the kingdom, the baptized could be received into a local church. He wrote, Now the Church of Christ is the executive of His laws and the guardian of his ordinances. It is her province not to decide whether His laws are right or wrong, but faithfully to carry out His instructions. Among the most important, all the duties imposed upon her are those which pertain to the reception of members into His kingdom. It has pleased the King to require that those who become members shall first believe and then shall be baptized. Faith is the essential qualification for membership and baptism the ceremony by which they are initiated. It is this they take and seal the oath of consecration to Him, of allegiance to His government. This is a *positive* enactment, a *legal* requisition designating the form and order of admission to His Kingdom. If the believer is to *profess* his faith, this supposes *some* authority in somebody to receive and judge of his profession. If he is to be baptized, this supposes there is *somebody* who is to administer the baptism—just as the requirement of a foreigner to take the oath of allegiance supposes somebody authorized to administer it and certify that it has been taken. Now the authority to administer this ceremony of initiation into Christ's kingdom is either limited by Him to those within the kingdom or it is not. If not thus limited, then Christ has placed the most important of His ordinances in the keeping of His enemies. He has authorized those who will not obey, and who oftentimes mock at His ordinances to be the rightful administrators of it, and requires His Churches to

receive their work as though it had been done by themselves. If limited to those within the kingdom, and baptism be the rite of initiation into that kingdom, then, of necessity, it is limited to the baptized, as no others can be reckoned as initiated. If this gives rise to difficulties, the Church cannot help it; if this leads to hard feelings, she is not responsible. If some men are too conscientious to receive the ordinances of those whom Christ appointed, she may pity their errors, she may seek to convince them of their wrong, but she may not receive that as Christ's baptism which was administered by those to whom He gave no authority to act for Him in regard to this matter.¹⁰

Dayton did not believe that baptism administered without the authority of a local church was scriptural. He denied it could be received as an initiatory rite into the invisible kingdom of Christ. And, he further insisted that this baptism into the kingdom was a prerequisite to church membership. The individual church, in Dayton's position, is not the visible kingdom into which one enters by baptism. The local church is the executive of the kingdom and those baptized into the visible kingdom are then qualified to be received into a local church. Or, according to Dayton, they may organize themselves into a local church.

Dayton said, But now, as the King has gone to Heaven, whom has He left to attend to the business of the kingdom in *His* absence? Who shall appoint the officers? Who shall receive new members? Who shall depose or exclude the unworthy? Who shall provide and do all that is needful for the purity, the permanence and the extension of the kingdom? He provided for all this before he went, **by directing as many of the citizens of the kingdom as could conveniently meet together, to assemble and organize themselves into a 'Church,' which should in its corporate capacity attend to all these matters.** It is this Church which must receive the profession of faith, determine on its genuineness and administer the baptism. It is the

Church as a Church that has charge of the door of entrance into the kingdom.¹¹ (Emp. mine, RWC).

That Dayton believed one was first baptized into the visible kingdom of Christ and then received by a local church into its membership is even more evident from the following statement. But Of whom is each Church to be composed? It must consist of those who are members of the *kingdom*, that is, of those who have believed and been baptized. When a person applies for *Church* membership with her, she inquires whether he is in the kingdom, if not, she must receive him into the kingdom by baptism before she can receive him into her special "ecclesia," or assembly, as a *Church* member. But if a sister Church has received him into the kingdom, she only asks to be certified of that fact. He must be in the *kingdom* before he can come into a *Church* within the kingdom [sic. *kingdom*].¹²

Dayton also wrote, Here, then, is the point to be decided. *How does one enter into this visible kingdom? We answer, by profession of his faith and baptism.* Who must receive and judge of the genuineness of this profession and administer to him this initiatory ordinance—those in the kingdom, or those without it? We say, those who are within and we would say this on the ground that common *sense* requires it should be so even though there were no precept or example affecting the case to be found in the Word of God. (Emp. mine, RWC).¹³

Let me give one other brief statement from Bro. Dayton. ". . . all Baptists hold that baptism is an essential prerequisite to Church membership."¹⁴ A prerequisite to church membership cannot at the same time be the door to membership. As before stated and proved, Bro. Dayton held that baptism places one in the visible kingdom of Christ and this member of the kingdom could then be received as a member of the executive body in the kingdom, a local church of Jesus Christ. I thought it interesting that J. R. Graves so highly recommends this book while he held that baptism is actually the door into the church and Dayton held it is the door into the visible

kingdom of Christ, but not into the church. It boils down to the fact these brethren did not condemn as heretics and apostates any who might disagree with them on such a matter. We should not do so either.

ROBERT GARNER

In 1645 Robert Garner wrote a very good tract on the subject of baptism. In it he makes the convincing argument that baptism is the way the Lord adds one to one of his churches. I have a scanned copy of the book and cannot give the original page numbers for the following quotes. It is very good. A casual reader may think that Garner speaks of the universal church but a careful reading shows very much the opposite. He held that baptism is the way one comes into a church relationship. He writes:

I Corinthians 12:13 Explained

Entrance into the Fellowship of the Gospel Church

A **Second** privilege which believers have by Christ in their baptism is this, **to wit, by baptism they do enter into the fellowship of His Body, which is His Church, with all the privileges and liberties of the same.** Baptism is that *only orderly entrance* which God has appointed for believers into the **fellowship** of the Church of Christ, I Cor. 12:13. *For by one spirit are we all baptized into one Body, whether we be Jews or Gentiles, whether we be bond or free, and have been all made to drink into one Spirit.* In which words we have these two things proved, to wit, first that believer's baptism is their entrance into one particular Body, Church, or Congregation of Christ. By one Spirit (speaking of believers) we are all baptized into one Body. *That is, We that are members in particular, diversely gifted and fitted, by that one and the self-same Spirit, are all baptized into one body or fellowship, for the mutual profit and benefit of each member, according to the proportion of grace given, and divided to us by that one Spirit.*

Into Its Privileges

And, *secondly*, as Believers by baptism do orderly enter into the Body or Congregation of Christ, so likewise into all the privileges of that body. And that is expressed in the latter clause of this verse, and in the verses following. In this verse (saith He) *We have been all made to drink into one spiritual benefit, or into one spiritual communion, which believers have from Christ in His Supper.* According to that I Cor. 10:16. The Cup of blessing which we bless, is it not the communion of the blood of Christ? And in the verses following of the 12th Chapter, He mentions other privileges of the Body, which all the Members thereof do share in, said he, they are all helpful to each other, and do all take care of each other. *They suffer and rejoice with another.* And as this Scripture holds forth this privilege which believers have in baptism, so does that likewise in Acts 2:41, 42. They that gladly received His Word were baptized; and the same day there were added about 3,000 souls. Whereas it is clear, that the entrance of all these believers into the union and fellowship of the body, was by baptism: they were added by baptism or in baptism. And so we were to understand Acts 2:47 and 5:14 and 11:24. For God has appointed but one way, for the joining or adding of believers into His body. Which sometimes is called an adding to His Church, and sometimes an adding to the Lord; both which come to one and the same thing.

To be Added to the Church by Baptism is equal to being added to the Lord in a Mystical external Union.

For to be added to the Church of the Lord, or the body of the Lord, is to be added to the Lord Himself, in a mystical external union. And the same Scripture likewise declares, that as they entered by baptism into the union and fellowship of the body, so likewise unto the enjoyment of all the privileges of the body. For so it follows: And they continued steadfastly in

the Apostles Doctrine and fellowship, and in breaking of bread and in prayers.

Instruction

Hence, I may take occasion to instruct believers, who have entered into the Lord's body in the Lord's way, that they endeavor to walk closely with the body in all love, helpfulness, carefulness, tenderness, and usefulness, *as becomes the Members of Christ's Body.*¹⁵

Garner further writes,

Trial

Again, this that I have said concerning this privilege which believers have in baptism, through Christ, may serve as a fourth Touchstone for the trial of Infant's baptism, whereby it is discovered not to be of God, but of men only. *For if all baptized persons according to the Scripture, were baptized into one body, to wit, the Church of God, and all the privileges thereof as has been clearly proved, from the I Cor. 12:13, and Acts 2:41, 42; (And doubtless, there is but one and the same rule for all the Churches of Christ to walk by), Then surely the unlawfulness of Infant's baptism is hence also proved.* Are they meet subjects to be enjoined or added to the Lord's body, who neither knew the Lord, nor His body, nor the privileges of the same? Are they meet to be added unto the body in baptism, who are no ways meet to partake in the privileges and liberties of the body? Who are no ways meet to walk with the body in doctrine, in fellowship, in breaking of bread, in prayers, and in other liberties? And surely, according to the Scripture, those who are added unto the body, are added unto the present partaking and enjoyments of all the privileges of the body. Are they meet to be added to the body, who are in no ways useful, helpful, and profitable unto the body? Such who cannot care for the body, nor suffer with it, nor rejoice with it, nor perform

any office of love or duty to the body?¹⁶

In future installments on this subject we will see that our Baptist forefathers did not always hold to exactly the same position on this matter but, as with Graves, Pendleton, and Dayton, it was not made a test of fellowship. There is no doubt in the writings of all true Baptists about one thing. No person can enjoy the privileges and blessings of church membership without Scriptural baptism. There can be no church relationship without obedience to the Lord's command of baptism. Of this there was no question and no difference of opinion. True Baptists have ever held that baptism is absolutely essential to church membership.

"For by one Spirit are we all baptized into one body."

Bibliography

- ¹ "The Best Commentary," H. M. Miller, *The Berea Baptist Banner*, Vol. 4., Number 5, May 15, 1983, P. 12.
- ² *Christ's Church and Baptism*, Rosco Brong, Ashland Avenue Baptist Church, Lexington, KY, 1977, Pp. 46-47.
- ³ *Ibid.*, P. 48.
- ⁴ *John's Baptism*, J. R. Graves, 1887, P. 102.
- ⁵ *Ibid.*, P. 104.
- ⁶ *Acts of Baptism*, J. R. Graves, P. 50. Quoted by Jarrel E. Huffman in *Ecclesiology (A Study of the Church)*, P. 34.
- ⁷ *Christian Doctrines*, J. M. Pendleton, 1878, P.331.
- ⁸ *Baptist Church Manual*, J. M. Pendleton, P. 56.
- ⁹ *Ibid.*, P. 94.
- ¹⁰ *Alien Baptism*, A. C. Dayton, Pp. 161-163. This book was originally published in 1903 with the title *Pedobaptist and Campbellite Immersions*. It was republished in 1977 with the title *Alien Immersion*.
- ¹¹ *Ibid.*, P. 167.
- ¹² *Ibid.*, P.168.
- ¹³ *Ibid.*
- ¹⁴ *Ibid.*, P. 193.
- ¹⁵ *A Treatise of Baptism*, Robert Garner, London, A.D. 1645.
- ¹⁶ *Ibid.*

[To be continued in a future issue]

Bouquets and Brickbats

IOWA: Once again, let me say that the paper is great. Just Sunday Grandad said that it was about time for another copy to arrive. He looks forward to receiving it and appreciates it very much. Sunday was his 91st birthday.

WWW: Thanks for click on for the Pilgrims Hope Baptist Church WebSite. I found it on the Baptist listserv and just checked it out. I have bookmarked it to return when I have more time to study the articles in detail. You have a lot there and I thank you for all of the spiritual food. His blessings to you and your congregation.

WWW: Did you know that the promise keepers started out professing salvation by faith alone until a catholic came on board? He talked them into removing the word alone from their statement which totally changes everything. The Catholic Church makes me sick. Don't get me wrong I love the people, but they are misled by a powerful evil empire. They have been for sometime rubbing elbows with Baptists, and other non-Catholic religions luring them in, in the name of interdenominational committees. The problem with this is the Catholic Church professes that salvation can only be gained through the Catholic church, so why buddy up with all other religions unless you are trying to convert them? The promise keepers have been lured into yet another doctrine of devils!

WWW: Nowhere do you show where anybody is in direct violation of scripture. In fact, it could be said that you are the one in error. I'd be very careful about attributing the works of the Father to Satan! You are guilty of the same error as those who accused Christ as being of Satan when he was casting out demons. It seems to you claim that your group has exclusive membership in the body of Christ. Your Statement about Hugh Ross are totally off base as well; where does scripture say that the earth isn't 17 billion years old? (You didn't forget "and the earth was without form and void" passage, did you?) In fact, the recent discoveries by

astronomers prove the existence of a loving caring creator. There is an unprecedented revival occurring in the scientific community because of the work of people like Hugh. The most important thing is that we believe the same way about the person of Jesus Christ, any apostate faith always errors about Christ.

[Editor's Note: I continue to be amazed at the number of people who think that anything goes if it does not violate some specific Scripture. There is also the whole analogy of faith that is to be considered. I know of no Scripture that says, "Thou shalt not baptize babies." I know of no Scripture which specifically declares, "Thou shalt not baptize an unbeliever." However, there are verses that teach that only believers were baptized and that John the Baptist refused to baptize some who had no evidence of repentance. Philip required that the eunuch believe before he was baptized. If one takes the position of this writer ("Nowhere do you show where anybody is in direct violation of scripture."), we could justify many things that do not directly violate some specific Scripture.]

WWW: All Christians are ignorant twits. You will have your day of reckoning and it will be a very rude awakening.

WWW: I am very much interested in your view of the PK movement And I am very much in shock to hear that PK originated from the radical Vineyard Fellowship.

Send me more information Do you have anything in writing or have mailing of your documentation?

In any case let me know. Thank you and may God be with you as you shed the light of His Truth. To God be The Glory!

COLORADO: John Powell here from Colorado Springs (yes, I know Focus is located here). Wanted to drop a note and ask if you have an email newsletter. I wasn't seeking out your site intentionally, but here I am, whether by accident or God's intervention we'll have to wait and see. I found your article quite interesting. We Christians (speaking generally and very loosely) seem to be more interested in ridding the world

of sinners (homosexuals, liberals, etc) rather than cleaning our own camp. (Hmm... I Cor. 5:9-13?)

And the Bible? What's that? Mr. Dobson thinking the first 11 chapters of the Bible were poetry? Hello?! You hit on a number of points. So I guess we were never created in God's image, God never really created the earth (I guess that would explain his evolutionary beliefs), and Adam never fell. Wait a second! If Adam never 'really' fell, then what on earth is Jesus good for? Just another great man who touched a few people? And while we are at it, why stop at only 11 chapters? Maybe, just maybe, the supposed self-proclaimed 'Word Of God' as a whole was never really inspired by this um, what do you call it.. Oh yeah - the 'Holy Spirit' and in fact is just one big book of cool Mother Goose stories. I don't get it. Either you believe it all or you don't. And if you don't believe it all, then maybe you don't believe it at all. Well, I'd love to chat more but I'm not even sure you will get this. Feel free to drop a note if you have time.

LOUISIANA: I couldn't resist commenting on the WWW mail you received from your Catholic "admirer" published in the latest edition of your very good paper. Of course what I really wanted to know was if you had recovered from your laughing jag. I don't think I have laughed so much in a long time. I was also wondering if you had taken your vow of poverty yet.

In regards to the good review of Dr. De Hahn's book by Bro. Settlemoir. I did have the book and read it many years ago. And admit it sounded good to me. I also went to Michigan and tried to make his acquaintance, but he was not in town at the time we visited there (probably off to a Plymouth Brethren meeting). That was many years ago. I think perhaps he got carried away with his education and wanted to do something sensational. Interesting to know his off-spring have now rejected his conclusions.

MISSOURI: Thank you for the wonderful article by Brother Settlemoir on M. R. DeHaan's book "The Chemistry Of The Blood." This is a book that I have owned for many years. I too have a

1943 edition. I deeply appreciate his evaluation of the book and just wonder why I have never seen such a review of DeHaan's erroneous theories both concerning the Person of Christ and of medical matters. The Christian spirit in which he dealt with Dr. DeHaan is also commendable. May the Lord bless you. Keep up the good work. Thanks for sending me your paper.

VIRGINIA: I receive your publication. I do not always get to read every word but I do get the basic idea you try to relay every month.

I am writing because you have a comment section and most of the comments I have seen are people's perspectives of your stand on Promise Keepers. I would like to give you my humble but accurate opinion. I have been to two PK events. One in Washington D.C. at RFK stadium and the other was their Stand in the Gap rally at the MALL in Washington D.C. The speakers they have speak about loving your brother and living morally correct which are two things every one should do.

When I was at RFK stadium I did see a few things that were very disturbing, and that was no one gave a message from the Bible, there were no Bible available for sale or for free, but there was an abundance of PK reading and viewing material. The fellow hosting the event would get up on stage after every speaker and give a minute commercial on what Promise Keeper reading material was available.

Basically if you want to go hear some speakers talk about morals and get some PK reading material then great, but ***if you want to learn about the Bible you won't find it there.*** [Emp. mine, RWC).

NEW MEXICO: The last issue of GP&P was really a blessing. One of the first books I received as a young Christian was *The Chemistry of the Blood* by De Haan. Thanks for exposing the bunk.

WWW: After reading your article on Christmas I did some research on my own. I found your article to be sound. Thank you so much.

NEW MEXICO: Keep up the good work. Truth need never hide.

WWW: My family is in Oregon ... in the midst of all of this paganism. It was refreshing to read this about the PK. I felt for a long time there was something wrong, even when the feminist group of NOW stepped in for that "split second" debate them. I felt it was all propaganda and instead of working to expose the PK it was working with them to increase their sales and intake. I feel especially close to this issue as my Uncle and Cousins were football coaches and players with these men like Bill McCartney. All financially well off but no compassion for anyone . . .

[Editor's Note: This reader had a good deal more to say that would have been of value to our readers but, in order to print the rest and it make sense, I would have had to print some names of people and one institution and therefore used only this part. This is just another instance of someone close to the scene that can reveal the real truth about what goes on with PKs.

**ORIGIN OF THE CHURCH AT LOWER DUBLIN
Organized in 1687 A. D.
IN THE COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA, PROVINCE OF
PENNSYLVANIA**

By the providence of God, several persons from Radnorshire, in" Wales, being baptized, upon profession of faith, members of a meeting, in the parish of Llanddowi, Henry Gregory, pastor, came over into this province and settled near Pennepek Creek. In the year of our Lord 1687, came Mr. Elias Keach, son of Mr. Benjamin Keach, of London, and preached unto the people there and at parts adjacent; at or about which time the above said persons, by name John Eaton, George, Eaton, Samuel Jones, and John Watts, with others baptized by the said Elias Keach, agreed, by the advice of the said Mr. Keach, to set a day apart, and by

fasting and prayer to settle themselves in a church state; which when they had solemnly accomplished, they made choice of the said Keach to be their pastor. (Taken from P. 11, **Minutes of the Philadelphia Baptist Association from 1707 to 1807**, published in 1851).

Now note the following facts from this account:

1. This church, when organized in 1687, was composed of baptized believers who had come to Pennsylvania from Radnorshire, in Wales, and of baptized believers who had been baptized by Elias Keach, son of Benjamin Keach.
2. Elias Keach came here and preached and baptized and then recommended the group form a church. I should point out that Keach was not even saved when he came to this country. He was a very wicked man and passed himself off as a minister but when

preaching his first sermon he was stricken with conviction and confessed his spiritual state and farce to those present. He went to Elder Dungan of Cold Spring, near Bristol, Pennsylvania, who "encouraged him to take his guilty soul to the sin-cleansing Redeemer." Soon he was a happy believer and anxious to be a true preacher of the gospel. He was baptized by Eld. Dungan and was ordained to preach Jesus by the Cold Spring church.

3. They, the group at Lower Dublin, according to his advice, set aside a day for prayer and fasting and then settled "themselves" into a church state and then called Elias Keach as their pastor. According to Cathcart from which I am taking this information about Keach, the Lower Dublin Church was actually organized in January, 1688, and "from it has sprung the wealthy and influential sisterhood of churches that now (1881) makes Philadelphia the home of the greatest number of Baptists in any large city in America" (Cathcart, p. 638-639).

This church was one of the original churches composing the Philadelphia Association through which many trace their lineage.

Postmaster: Please send address changes to:
The Grace Proclamator & Promulgator
3084 Woodrow St
Memphis, TN 38127
(USPS #000476)

Periodical
Postage Paid
Memphis, TN
38101

BEVERLY MANOR BAPTIST CHURCH SEEKS YOUTH DIRECTOR

The Beverly Manor Baptist Church is seeking a Youth Director to lead the youth and children's ministry. If you are interested in this position contact:

Dan Hillard, Minister of Education
Beverly Manor Baptist Church
209 Vohland
Washington, IL 61571
(309) 745 - 9229

ELD. BILL LEE IN NEW PASTORATE

Bro. Bill Lee is now the pastor of the Central Baptist Church of Grenada, MS. His new mailing address is:

PO Box 876
Grenada, MS 38902
Phones
Home: 601-227-9335
Church 601-226-2715